### **Garden Suburb Junior School Pupil Premium strategy Statement 2018-2019**

| 1. Summary information      |         |                                  |                                  |  |              |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------|--|--|
| School Garden Suburb Junior |         |                                  |                                  |  |              |  |  |
| Academic Year               | 2018 19 | Total PP budget                  | £104,280 (April 2018-April 2019) | Date of most recent PP Review                  | October 2018 |  |  |
| Total number of pupils      | 352     | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 79                               | Date for next internal review of this strategy |              |  |  |

The term also used by the Department for Education for pupils eligible for Pupil Premium (PP) Funding is Disadvantaged Pupils

| 2. Current attainment 2017-18                              |   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 23 pupil premium 66 non pupil premium                      | Pupils eligible for PP Garden Suburb Junior<br>School | Pupils not eligible for PP Garden Suburb<br>Junior School |  |  |  |
| <u>Attainment</u>  | 23 pupils<br>(National)                               | 66 pupils<br>(National)                                   |  |  |  |
| % achieving in reading, writing and maths                  | 52% (51%)   | 80% (70%)   |  |  |  |
| % achieving national expected standard or above in reading | 65% (64%)   | 89% (80%)   |  |  |  |
| % achieving national expected standard or above GPS        | 74% (67%)   | 89% (82%)   |  |  |  |
| % achieving national expected standard or above Writing    | 57% (65%)   | 92% (83%)   |  |  |  |
| % achieving national expected standard or above Maths      | 70% (64%)   | 88% (81%)   |  |  |  |
| % exceeding in reading, writing and maths                  | 0% (4%)   | 14% (12%)   |  |  |  |
| % exceeding national expected standard or above in reading | 17% (18%)   | 44% (32%)   |  |  |  |
| % exceeding national expected standard or above GPS        | 30% (24%)   | 59% (39%)   |  |  |  |
| % exceeding national expected standard or above Writing    | 4% (11%)  | 15% (24%)   |  |  |  |
| % exceeding national expected standard or above Maths      | 9% (14%)  | 50% (28%)   |  |  |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving the expected standard in RWM combined is in line with the national average

Percentage of pupils achieving the expected standard in Reading is above national

Percentage of pupils achieving the expected standard in GPS (Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling) and Maths is well above national

Percentage of pupils achieving the expected standard in writing is below national

Percentage of pupils exceeding the expected standard in reading is in line with national

Percentage of pupils exceeding the standard in GPS is well above national

Percentage of pupils exceeding the expected standard writing and maths is below the national

### **Progress**

|  | Reading | Writing | Maths |
|--|---------|---------|-------|
| Garden Suburb Junior School                                  | 2.21    | 0.59    | 0.92  |
| Progress score for disadvantaged pupils                      |         |         |       |
| Number of disadvantaged pupils                               | 18      | 18      | 18    |
|  |         |         |       |
| National average progress score for non-disadvantaged pupils | 0.31    | 0.24    | 0.31  |

Progress score for disadvantaged pupils is significantly above national average for non-disadvantaged in reading
Progress score for disadvantaged pupils is above national average for non-disadvantaged in writing and maths
The progress data indicates that disadvantaged pupils have made good progress from their starting points at the end of Key Stage 1

|                         |        | 2016  |        | 2017  |        | 2018  |  |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
|                         | Non PP | PP    | Non PP | PP    | Non PP | PP    |  |
| Number of pupils        | 58     | 25    | 67     | 22    | 66     | 23    |  |
| Prior Attainment at KS1 | 16.43  | 15.92 | 15.82  | 13.91 | 16.68  | 14.01 |  |
| National average 15.00  |        |       |        |       |        |       |  |

For the last two year the average point score for PP pupils at the end of Key Stage 1 has been below the expected standard

#### 3. Barriers to future attainment

#### **In-school barriers**

We believe that the best way to ensure that disadvantaged children achieve their potential is for the Pupil Premium grant to contribute primarily to quality teaching and learning 'Quality First Teaching' for all ability groups.

We also use the grant as a contribution to specific interventions to support with English and Maths and where necessary to support: attendance and punctuality, engagement in school life, social, emotional and physical wellbeing

- A. Pupil premium pupils attainment at greater depth is not always as high as the non-pupil premium pupils
- **B.** Social and emotional difficulties that can impact on learning and attitudes to learning and self esteem
- **C.** Pupil premium pupils who are EAL need additional support

**External barriers** (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)

**D.** Lack of support with homework and extending learning

#### 4. Desired outcomes

|    | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured   | Success criteria  |
|----|--|---|
| A. | Continue to ensure PP pupils reach the national standard and diminish the difference in attainment across all key areas through quality first teaching. Provide quality training and feedback for staff on good practice through INSET and membership of BPSI. Ensure quality outcomes and raised attainment through quality planning. New Leadership structure from September 2018 enables a member of Leadership Team Support for Year Group teams eg Leadership attending all year group planning | Progress and attainment at Key stage 2 will be at least in line with national expectations and gaps in learning will be made smaller in Maths and English. More PP pupils will attain greater depth                       |
| B. | New staffing structure enables a PP lead for each Year Group to mentor, support and monitor provision. Provide more teacher led interventions for pupils who are falling behind eg intervention groups, EAL club, homework club Continue to provide interventions led by LSAs  | Pupils who are have gaps in their learning will be supported and more engaged and able to access learning in class  |
| C. | Continue to ensure that good learning behaviours are developed through focusing on learning powers, developing resilience and good behaviour as well as supporting pupils and families with social and emotional difficulties  | Pupils will develop better learning behaviours and be more able to respond to feedback in order to improve their outcomes.  Supporting emotional and behavioural needs ensures better learning environment for all pupils |

# 5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2018-2019

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

## i. Quality of teaching for all

| Desired outcome   | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead  | When will you review ?   |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| To ensure 'Quality First Teaching' enabling pp pupils to get the feedback necessary to make good progress | Leadership team directly involved in planning in all Year Group Teams  PP lead in all Year groups to monitor, mentor and deliver provision  Additional teachers (rather than LSAs) across the school to support the needs of all groups of pp pupils | We want to invest some of the PP in longer term change which will help all pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest high quality feedback is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school.  Sutton Trust research suggests that using PP grant on additional teachers can be more effective that increasing the number of LSAs | Lesson observations, monitoring of pupil outcomes, monitoring of planning, pupil review days, Impact statements from PP leads | Year Group<br>Leaders,<br>Deputy<br>Head/<br>Assistant<br>Heads     | Termly through data analysis  Lesson observations  |
| Raise expectations for<br>all pupils in order to<br>raise standards in<br>English and Maths               | Increased expectation on Year Group Leaders of demonstrating their impact on raising standards. Training for staff on raising expectations and increasing challenge for all pupils in writing  | High ability pupils eligible for PP. We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'. We want to ensure that all teachers provide challenge and the necessary feedback for these pupils.   | INSET for staff Monitoring Moderation   | Deputy<br>Head/<br>English Co-<br>ordinator<br>Maths<br>Coordinator | Monitoring of outcomes Moderation Termly data analysis Pupil Review Days Impact statements |

| Desired outcome   | Chosen action/approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead   | When will you review implementation? |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| To ensure that pupils entitled to pupil premium make good progress in Maths and English | Interventions in maths in Y5 and Y6  - Small group support  - Before/after school focus groups  - Interventions in English Eg EAL specialist teacher supporting in Year 5 supporting EAL pupils  - Homework Club  - EAI Club | Quality first teaching supplemented by a variety of interventions (plan do review) is identified in EEF Tool kit.                         | Regular communication between the class teachers and teachers providing the interventions to support with planning and review. | Deputy<br>Head/ PP<br>leads/<br>Inclusion<br>Manager | Termly                               |
| To ensure that pupils entitled to pupil premium make good progress in KS2 Tests         | Intervention for Y6 and<br>Y5 groups of pupils after<br>school to support with<br>accessing tests  | Barrier to learning was identified that some pupils were underperforming tests. They needed more pre learning and exposure to test format | Regular communication between the class teachers and teachers providing the interventions to support with planning and review. | Deputy<br>Head/Year<br>5/Year 6 Co-<br>ordinator     | Half termly                          |
|   |  |   | Tota   | <br>  budgeted cost                                  | £89,403                              |

| Desired outcome  | Chosen action/approach                                    | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead            | When will you review implementation?  |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| Support the behaviour, emotional health and wellbeing of pupils. | Subscription to Health<br>and Wellbeing service<br>(HEWS) | If pupils emotional and behavioural needs are met all pupils benefit and are able to access the curriculum more effectively. | Vulnerable pupils will be identified to access support. External agencies will lead and train staff in delivering 'Mighty Me' and other self-esteem raising initiatives. | Inclusion<br>manager/ | Review after 8 week programs throughout the year Feedback from pupils/parents after interventions |

| Develop more resilient learners  | Focus on developing resilience through 'Learning Powers'                                 | Work of Guy Claxton indicates that successful learners need to develop good learning behaviours to be able to respond effectively to feedback.  | Parents will have access to support. INSET for staff on 'Learning Powers' Information for parents on website | Deputy/Head                              | Pupil voice<br>interviews termly |
|--|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| To raise confidence and improve engagement of eligible pupils by:  • participating in extra-curricular activities • attending before and after school care • participating in educational visits | Contribution towards funding for identified children to participate in school activities | Participation in extra-curricular activities raises self-esteem, helps to build relationships with peers and raises confidence.  "Sports participation interventions engage pupils in sports as a means to increasing educational engagement and attainment. This might be through after-school activities or a programme organised by a local sporting club or association." (Teaching and learning Toolkit) | Close monitoring of the children who are attending clubs – are PP children being given fair access to clubs. | Headteacher Support Teacher LSA feedback | Throughout the year              |
| Total budgeted cost  |  |   |  |  |                                  |